

11-09-00

A

Box 500

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 02108.0001U2

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BOX PATENT APPLICATION
 Assistant Commissioner for Patents
 Washington, D.C. 20231

NEEDLE & ROSENBERG, P.C.
 Suite 1200, The Candler Building
 127 Peachtree Street, N.E.
 Atlanta, Georgia 30303-1811

November 8, 2000


Dear Sir:

Transmitted herewith for filing are the specification and claims of the utility patent application of:

Inventor(s): Joan D. Leonard and Robert W. Tully

Title of Invention: Vaccines for *Mycoplasma bovis* and Methods of Use

Also enclosed are:

2	SHEETS OF	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [X] FORMAL DRAWINGS	<input type="checkbox"/> [] INFORMAL DRAWINGS
	OATH OR DECLARATION OF APPLICANT(S)		
	A POWER OF ATTORNEY		
	A PRELIMINARY AMENDMENT		
	A VERIFIED STATEMENT TO ESTABLISH SMALL ENTITY STATUS UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.9 AND §1.27		
X	A CHECK IN THE AMOUNT OF \$870.00 TO COVER THE FILING FEE.		
X	THE COMMISSIONER IS HEREBY AUTHORIZED TO CHARGE ANY ADDITIONAL FEES WHICH MAY BE REQUIRED IN CONNECTION WITH THE FOLLOWING OR CREDIT ANY OVERPAYMENT TO ACCOUNT NO. 14-0629		
	A CERTIFIED COPY OF PREVIOUSLY FILED FOREIGN APPLICATION NO. FILED IN ON .		
X	I hereby certify that this correspondence is being placed in the United States Mail as Express Mail No. EL 403597058US on the date shown below  Everardo McFarlane 11-8-00 DATE		
X	A computer readable form of the sequence listing in compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.821(e). The content of the computer readable form of the sequence listing and the sequence listing in the specification of the application as filed are the same.		
X	OTHER (IDENTIFY) AUTHORIZATION TO TREAT REPLY REQUIRING EXTENSION OF TIME AS INCORPORATING PETITION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME		

11/08/00
 jcs72 U.S. PTO

11/08/00
 jcs14 U.S. PTO
 09/708352

09708352 11/08/00

The filing fee is calculated as follows:

CLAIMS AS FILED, LESS ANY CLAIMS CANCELLED BY AMENDMENT

TOTAL CLAIMS = 20 - 20 = x \$18.00 =	0
INDEPENDENT CLAIMS = 5 - 3 = 2 x \$80.00 =	\$160.00
BASIC FEE =	\$710.00
TOTAL OF ABOVE CALCULATIONS =	\$870.00
REDUCTION BY 1/2 FOR SMALL ENTITY =	
TOTAL FILING FEE =	\$870.00

Respectfully submitted,

Guadalupe J. Spindler

Gwendolyn D. Spratt
Registration No. 36,016

NEEDLE & ROSENBERG, P.C.
Suite 1200, The Candler Building
127 Peachtree Street, N.E.
Atlanta, Georgia 30303-1811
(404) 688-0770

[illegible]

JC914 U.S. PTO
 09/708352

 11/08/00

EXPRESS MAIL NO. EL403507058US

Attorney Docket No. 02108.0001U2

APPLICATION FOR LETTERS PATENT

TO ALL WHOM IT MAY CONCERN:

Be it known that we, Joan D. Leonard, a citizen of the United States of America, residing at 28495 W. 110th St., Olathe, Kansas, 66061, and Robert W. Tully, a citizen of the United States of America, residing at 697 W. Cedar St., Olathe, Kansas, 66061, have invented

Vaccines for *Mycoplasma bovis* and Methods of Use

for which the following is a specification.

VACCINES FOR *MYCOPLASMA BOVIS* AND METHODS OF USE

This application claims the benefit of priority of U.S. Provisional Application No. 60/164,286, filed November 8, 1999, the entire contents of which is incorporated
5 herein by reference.

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

10 This invention relates to novel vaccines for protection against *Mycoplasma bovis* disease in animals, compositions for the diagnosis of such infections, and methods of diagnosis and vaccination.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

15 *Mycoplasma bovis* is a pathogenic prokaryote belonging to a class of organisms that is intermediate in size between a bacteria and virus. These mycoplasmas are the smallest of the free-living microorganisms. They are characterized by the lack of a cell wall and are enveloped with only a cell membrane, which allows for varying
20 morphological shapes and unique growth requirements.

Mycoplasmas are known to cause infectious disease in most species of animals. In bovine species, *Mycoplasma bovis* is an opportunistic microorganism that causes infectious disease that is of significant economic importance to the livestock industry.
25 *Mycoplasma bovis* isolation in a diseased bovine can be the result of its role as either a primary or secondary causative etiological disease agent. Clinical disease and losses associated with infections caused by *Mycoplasma bovis* in beef and dairy cattle include: contagious mastitis, respiratory pneumonia, joint infections (arthritic conditions), keratoconjunctivitis, and middle ear infections. Even though several species of
30 mycoplasmas have been isolated in cattle, by far the most prevalent is *Mycoplasma bovis*. For mastitis infections, the prevalence of *M.bovis* is reported to be 70% or more.

Diseases caused by mycoplasmas are often resistant to antimicrobial therapy, leaving no effective means of treatment. Consequently, the only effective control method is to cull animals from a herd. This has enormous economic implications in the dairy industry where losses are measured by the value of the culled animals as well as the impact on both milk quality and quantity due to clinical and subclinical infections. Mycoplasma infections resulting in bovine mastitis are increasing in prevalence and geographical distribution. In the United States, this higher prevalence is due to a larger and more intense cattle production industry in which herds are rapidly expanding, placing them at greater risk. Increased incidence of *M. bovis* infection and related infectious disease in dairy herds has been noted worldwide (Jasper, DE 1982, J. Amer. Vet. Med. Assn. 181:158-162).

Control of disease caused by mycoplasmas in swine and avian species has occurred in recent years as the result of successful vaccination programs using safe and efficacious products. The design and development of an effective commercial vaccine in the United States to control *Mycoplasma bovis* has not yet occurred, even though changes in cattle production methods and husbandry practices have resulted in a greater commercial need to control this agent from both an economic and food quality perspective. Although there have been numerous attempts to produce vaccine to protect against *Mycoplasma bovis*, the resulting experimental vaccines have been deemed unacceptable due to the lack of protection as well as unacceptable site reactions in vaccinated animals (Boothby, et al. 1986 Cornell Vet 76: 188-197; Boothby et al. 1987 Can J. Veterinary Research 51:121-125; Howard et al. 1987 Veterinary Record 121:372-376; Boothby, et al. 1988 Can J. Veterinary Research 52:355-359). Thus, there remains a need in the veterinary and animal health profession to provide a safe and effective vaccine to prevent infectious disease caused by *Mycoplasma bovis* with no unfavorable host animal reactions.

SUMMARY OF INVENTION

The invention disclosed herein provides safe and effective vaccines for the prevention of *Mycoplasma bovis* disease in cattle. Also disclosed are methods for characterizing biotypes of *Mycoplasma bovis* in cattle, bulk milk tanks, and barns.

DESCRIPTION OF FIGURES

Figure 1 is an illustration of the gel electrophoretic pattern for DNA products produced by Polymerase Chain Reactions from different *Mycoplasma bovis* isolates. On the left side of the figure, molecular weight standards based on restriction endonuclease digests of lambda and phi phage are shown. The size of the bands in the standard digests are, from top to bottom, for lambda; n/d, n/d, n/d, 2027, 1904, 1584, 1375, 947, 831 and 564 base pairs, and for Phi X174; 1353, 1078, 872, 603, 310, 284/271, 234, 194 and 118 base pairs. The relative location of PCR-generated markers for different biotypes, designated A and B, are shown in lanes 5-12 to the right of the standards. Arrows in lanes 5 and 7 indicate the presence of three and two characteristic bands for biotypes A and B, respectively.

Figure 2 is an illustration of the gel electrophoretic pattern for DNA products produced by Polymerase Chain Reactions from a second set of *Mycoplasma bovis* isolates. On the left side of the figure, molecular weight standards based on restriction endonuclease digests of lambda and phi phage are shown. The size of the bands in the standard digests are, from top to bottom, for lambda; n/d, n/d, n/d, 2027, 1904, 1584, 1375, 947, 831 and 564 base pairs, and for Phi X174; 1353, 1078, 872, 603 and 310 base pairs. The relative location of PCR-generated markers for different biotypes, designated A and C, are shown in lanes 5-11 to the right of the standards. Arrows in lanes 5 and 8 indicate the presence of the three and two characteristic bands for biotypes A and C, respectively.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

As used throughout the specification and in the claims, “a,” “an” or “the” can mean one or more, depending upon the context in which it is used.

5

In accordance with the purposes of this invention, as embodied and broadly described herein, this invention, in one aspect, provides a vaccine composition which is protective against *Mycoplasma bovis* disease in a bovine species, comprising one or more inactivated or attenuated *Mycoplasma bovis* biotype(s) and a pharmaceutically acceptable excipient. The term “inactivated,” also referred to as “killed,” means that the microorganisms are treated by any of several means known to the art so that they no longer grow or reproduce, but that the microorganisms are still capable of eliciting an immune response in the target animal. Examples of inactivating agents are: formalin, azide, freeze-thaw, sonication, heat treatment, sudden pressure drop, detergent

10 (especially non-ionic detergents), lysozyme, phenol, proteolytic enzymes, propiolactone, Thimerosal (see United States Patent 5,338,543 Fitzgerald, et al.), and binary ethyleneimine (see United States Patent 5,565,205 Petersen, et al.). In a specific embodiment, the *Mycoplasma bovis* strains used in the vaccine are inactivated with beta-propiolactone (BPL).

15

Alternatively, the *M. bovis* biotypes used in the vaccine can be attenuated. The term “attenuated,” also referred to as “modified live,” is intended to refer to a living biotype of *Mycoplasma bovis* which has been attenuated (modified) by any of a number of methods known in the art including, but not limited to, multiple serial passage, temperature sensitive attenuation, mutation, or the like such that the resultant strain is relatively non-pathogenic to a bovine species. The modified live strain should be capable of limited replication in the vaccinated animal and of inducing a protective immune response which is protective against disease caused by virulent or wild-type *Mycoplasma bovis*.

20

25

30

The term "pharmaceutically acceptable" means a material that is not biologically or otherwise undesirable, *i.e.*, the material may be administered to an animal along with the immunogenic material (*i.e.* inactivated or attenuated *M. bovis* biotypes) without causing any undesirable biological effects or interacting in a deleterious manner with any of the other components of the vaccine in which it is contained. Examples of such pharmaceutically acceptable excipients include water and physiological saline (for further examples, see Arnon, R. (Ed.) *Synthetic Vaccines* I:83-92, CRC Press, Inc., Boca Raton, Florida, 1987).

The invention disclosed herein is based in part on the discovery that *Mycoplasma bovis* infections in the field comprise mixtures of biotypes. The term "biotype" means a variant of a species, *i.e.* a strain, that can be distinguished by one or more characteristics, such as ribosomal RNA sequence variation, DNA polymorphisms, serological typing, or toxin production (*see e.g.*, Sambrook et al., *Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual*, 2nd Ed., Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, New York, 1989; *DNA cloning: A Practical Approach*, Volumes I and II, Glover, D.M. ed., IRL Press Limited, Oxford, 1985; Harlow and Lane, *Antibodies, A Laboratory Manual*, Cold Spring Harbor Publications, N.Y. (1988)).

In another aspect of this invention, to produce an effective vaccine against *Mycoplasma bovis*, the vaccine must contain antigen derived from a biotype of *Mycoplasma bovis*. Examples of specific embodiments would include vaccines containing antigen derived from *M. bovis* biotypes A, B, or C. In a further specific embodiment, the vaccine comprises antigen derived from more than one *M. bovis* biotypes (*e.g.*, A and B, A and C, B and C, or A, B and C). In a further specific embodiment, the vaccine comprises antigen derived from one or more *M. bovis* biotypes and antigen derived from another pathogen. In a further specific embodiment, the vaccine comprises inactivated or attenuated *M. bovis* biotype A, B or C. In a further specific embodiment, the vaccine comprises at least two inactivated or attenuated *M. bovis* biotypes (*e.g.*, A and B, A and C, B and C, or A, B and C). In a further specific embodiment, the vaccine comprises at least one inactivated or attenuated *M. bovis*

biotype with antigen derived from another pathogen. In a preferred embodiment, the vaccine comprises inactivated or attenuated *M. bovis* biotype A, as defined herein, and at least one other biotype of *M. bovis*.

5 It is anticipated that additional biotypes of *M. bovis* may emerge and may be isolated with continued animal production. Additional biotypes can be added to the vaccine as needed. It is a matter of routine practice to sample bulk milk tanks and blood from cows to isolate *Mycoplasma bovis* cultures. These cultures can then be biotyped according to any of several typing techniques, as listed hereinabove. Vaccines
10 can be formulated based on the prevalence of *M. bovis* biotypes present in the environment. Autogenous vaccines, i.e. those vaccines for use on the farm where the microorganisms are isolated, can be custom-designed to contain all biotypes found on the farm, but not any other biotype. Vaccines developed for use by a mass market, i.e. those vaccines produced for general use on many different farms containing pre-
15 selected biotypes, can also be developed, marketed and used.

 In another aspect, this invention provides a vaccine comprising a single, inactivated or attenuated *Mycoplasma bovis* biotype, a pharmaceutically acceptable excipient, and a suitable adjuvant. In a specific embodiment, the vaccine contains
20 inactivated or attenuated *M. bovis* biotypes A, B or C or any mixture thereof and may further contain antigens from other pathogens.

 In a preferred embodiment, the inactivated vaccines of this invention are produced from biotypes freshly isolated from infected animals or from cryopreserved
25 biotype cultures freshly prepared from infected animals. In a preferred embodiment, the attenuated vaccines of this invention are produced from cultures of biotypes which have been treated so as to retain a limited ability to replicate within the vaccinated animal, but which does not retain the ability to infect other animals and cause mycoplasma-related disease. The preparation and use of attenuated vaccines is well-
30 known to practitioners of ordinary skill in the art.

The inactivated or attenuated *M. bovis* biotype(s) may be further processed to fractionate and/or standardize the antigenic mass. For example, specific biotypes might be isolated from samples and combined to form specific combinations of biotypes in specific ratios. Similarly, components from a specific inactivated or attenuated *M.*

5 *bovis* biotype might be fractionated and a subset of those fractions combined with similarly fractionated components of another biotype to standardize the antigenic component of the vaccine preparation and to optimize its efficacy. In one embodiment, the antigenic components derived from a single biotype are enriched by removing non-immunogenic components from the cells of the biotype. In another embodiment, the

10 vaccine preparations are standardized to provide a required minimum cell content per formulated dose. In a preferred embodiment, the vaccine comprising inactivated *M. bovis* biotype(s) is formulated to deliver at least 10^8 *M. bovis* cell equivalents of each biotype per dose. A complete vaccination of a bovine species comprises the administration of recommended doses. In a preferred embodiment, two such doses will

15 be administered. In a further preferred embodiment, three such doses will be administered. In another preferred embodiment, the vaccine comprising attenuated *M. bovis* biotype(s) is formulated to deliver at least 10^5 *M. bovis* cells per biotype. It is understood by those skilled in the art that the critical value in describing a vaccination dose is the total amount of immunogen needed to elicit a protective response by the

20 host animal to infectious disease caused by virulent or wild-type *M. bovis*. The number and volume of doses used can be varied and are determined by the practitioner based on costs and the need to avoid deleterious side effects in the animal caused by the administration. For example, the volume of one administration typically does not exceed 2-5 milliliters. The number of doses of inactivated vaccine needed in adult

25 animals is typically one initial dose followed by 1-2 additional doses and annual revaccination. The number of doses of attenuated vaccine in adult animals is one initial dose followed by a booster. Subsequently, annual boosters are administered.

The vaccines of the present invention may further comprise antigenic material

30 of other viruses and/or microorganisms known to be bovine pathogens, including, but not limited to, attenuated (modified-live) or inactivated viruses or microorganisms.

09708350 110800

Such combination vaccines provide protection against a plurality of diseases to which the bovine species are exposed, including but not limited to immunogenic compositions for *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Pasteurella hemolytica*, *Pasteurella multocida*, *Hemophilus somnus*, Bovine Respiratory Syncytial Virus, Bovine Diarrhea Virus, *E. coli* and

5 Infectious Bovine Rhinotracheal Disease.

In other embodiments, the vaccine of this invention further comprises a suitable adjuvant. As used herein, an "adjuvant" is a potentiator or enhancer of the immune response. The term "suitable" is meant to include any substance which can be used in
 10 combination with the vaccine immunogen (i.e. inactivated or attenuated *M. bovis* biotypes or fractions thereof) to augment the immune response, without producing adverse reactions in the vaccinated animal. Effective amounts of a specific adjuvant may be readily determined so as to optimize the potentiation effect of the adjuvant on the immune response of an animal vaccinated. In a preferred embodiment, adjuvanting
 15 of the vaccines of this invention is a 2 – stage process utilizing firstly a 2% aluminum hydroxide solution and secondly a mineral oil. In specific embodiments, suitable adjuvants can be chosen from the following group: mineral, vegetable or fish oil with water emulsions, incomplete Freund's adjuvant, *E. coli* J5, dextran sulfate, iron oxide, sodium alginate, Bacto-Adjuvant, certain synthetic polymers such as Carbopol (BF
 20 Goodrich Company, Cleveland, Ohio), poly-amino acids and co-polymers of amino acids, saponin, carrageenan, REGRESSIN (Vetrepharm, Athens, GA), AVRIDINE (N, N-dioctadecyl-N',N'-bis(2-hydroxyethyl)-propanediamine), long chain polydispersed β (1,4) linked mannan polymers interspersed with O-acetylated groups (e.g. ACEMANNAN), deproteinized highly purified cell wall extracts derived from non-
 25 pathogenic strain of *Mycobacterium* species (e.g. EQUIMUNE, Vetrepharm Research Inc., Athens GA), Mannite monooleate, paraffin oil, and muramyl dipeptide.

In another aspect, this invention discloses a method for immunizing bovine animals against infectious disease caused by *Mycoplasma bovis* comprising
 30 administering to a bovine animal immunogenic amounts of inactivated or attenuated *Mycoplasma bovis* biotypes to elicit a protective immune response by the animal.

Preferably, the method comprises administering at least two inactivated or attenuated *Mycoplasma bovis* biotypes to elicit a protective immune response by the animal.

Immunization may be performed orally, intranasally, intratracheally, intramuscularly, intramammarily, subcutaneously, intravenously, or intradermally. The vaccine

- 5 containing the inactivated or attenuated *M. bovis* biotypes can be administered by injection, by inhalation, by ingestion, or by infusion. Repeated doses of the vaccine preparations, i.e. "boosters", are preferable at periodic time intervals to enhance the immune response initially or after a long period of time since the last dose. The time interval between vaccinations varies depending on the age and condition of the animal.
- 10 For lactating and adult animals, the first vaccination is preferably given at the end of the lactation cycle (i.e. "dry-off"), followed by a "booster" dose 2-4 weeks later, and preferably followed by a second booster dose 2-4 weeks thereafter. Newborn calves are preferably vaccinated at birth, followed by booster doses every 3-5 weeks until the calves are 4-6 months old and annually thereafter. However, at risk or exposed stocker
- 15 and feeder animals should be vaccinated more often, preferably no less than once every 6 months.

- In another embodiment of the methods of this invention, the multiple *M. bovis* biotypes comprising the vaccine can be delivered in separate administrations to the
- 20 animal. For example, the vaccine comprising inactivated *M. bovis* biotypes A and B can be delivered by separately administering an immunogenic amount of biotype A in one injection and an immunogenic amount of biotype B in another injection. In a further embodiment, each separately administered biotype can be administered as a combination vaccination, comprising antigenic material of other viruses and/or
 - 25 microorganisms known to be bovine pathogens.

- The term "immunogenic amount" means an amount of an immunogen, i.e. the inactivated or attenuated *M. bovis* biotype(s) or a portion thereof, which is sufficient to induce an immune response in a vaccinated bovine species and which protects the
- 30 animal against disease caused by wild-type or virulent *M. bovis* infections upon exposure thereto or which has a commercially beneficial effect that lessens the effect of

M. bovis on milk production, weight gain or animal health. In a preferred embodiment, bovine animals are immunized by administering at least approximately 10^8 *M. bovis* cell equivalents of each inactivated biotype in the vaccine. In a specific embodiment, animals are immunized by administering at least approximately 10^8 *M. bovis* biotype A cell equivalents and approximately 10^8 *M. bovis* biotype B cell equivalents, which have been inactivated, in at least two injections. In another specific embodiment, bovine animals are immunized by administering at least approximately 10^8 *M. bovis* biotype A cell equivalents, 10^8 *M. bovis* biotype B cell equivalents and approximately 10^8 *M. bovis* biotype C cell equivalents, which have been inactivated, in at least two injections.

10

In another preferred embodiment, bovine animals are immunized by administering at least approximately 10^5 *M. bovis* cells of each attenuated biotype in the vaccine. In a specific embodiment, bovine animals are immunized by administering at least approximately 10^5 *M. bovis* biotype A attenuated cells and at least approximately 10^5 *M. bovis* biotype B attenuated cells. In another specific embodiment, bovine animals are immunized by administering at least approximately 10^5 *M. bovis* biotype A cells, 10^5 *M. bovis* biotype B cells, and 10^5 *M. bovis* biotype C cells.

In another aspect, this invention discloses a method for producing a *Mycoplasma bovis* vaccine comprising contacting at least two live *Mycoplasma bovis* biotypes with an inactivating material and incorporating the inactivated *Mycoplasma bovis* biotypes into a pharmaceutically acceptable excipient with a suitable adjuvant to produce a *Mycoplasma bovis* vaccine. In a preferred method, selected *Mycoplasma bovis* biotypes are grown separately as pure cultures, free of contamination by viruses, bacteria or any other microbial agent, including other biotypes of *M. bovis*, to the desired cell equivalents, inactivated as described herein, and then combined in equal amounts with a pharmaceutically acceptable excipient to produce a *Mycoplasma bovis* vaccine. Alternatively, the biotypes can be grown together as a mixed culture to the desired cell equivalents, inactivated and then, optionally, combined with a pharmaceutically acceptable excipient and a suitable adjuvant to produce a *Mycoplasma bovis* vaccine.

In a further embodiment of the hereinabove disclosed method of producing a *Mycoplasma bovis* vaccine, the inactivated or attenuated *Mycoplasma bovis* biotypes are mixed with a suitable adjuvant. In a preferred method, the suitable adjuvant is an aluminum hydroxide-oil emulsion.

5

Selected *M. bovis* biotypes may be used as the basis for diagnostic tools to detect the presence of *M. bovis*. In one aspect of this invention, samples from cattle would be tested for the presence of antibodies specific for *M. bovis* by contacting the samples with *M. bovis* cells or antigens derived from *M. bovis*. Examples of

10 technologies that could be adapted to such a method include, but are not limited to, RIA, ELISA and immunoblot. Examples of specific embodiments would include antigens derived from one or more *M. bovis* biotypes (e.g., A, B, C, A and B, B and C, A and C, or A, B and C). In a preferred embodiment, antigen from each of the *M. bovis* biotypes A, B and C would be utilized to test for the presence of antibodies specific for

15 each of the *M. bovis* biotypes, thus allowing an autogenous vaccine to be administered. In another embodiment, antibodies raised against *M. bovis* biotypes or antigens derived from selected biotypes would be used to test for the presence of *M. bovis* biotypes A, B and C. Examples of specific embodiments would include antibodies reactive to antigens derived from one or more *M. bovis* biotypes (e.g., A, B, C, A and B, B and C,

20 A and C, or A, B and C). In another embodiment, antigens derived from different biotypes would be utilized to test for the presence of antibodies specific against antigens from a given biotype.

In a further embodiment, the present invention provides an isolated *Mycoplasma*

25 *bovis* biotype A, *Mycoplasma bovis* biotype B, *Mycoplasma bovis* biotype C, or any combination thereof.

Throughout this application, various publications are referenced. The disclosures of these publications in their entireties are hereby incorporated by reference

30 into this application in order to more fully describe the state of the art to which this invention pertains.

EXAMPLES

Example 1. Characterization and Typing of Field Isolates

5

Strains were collected from infected animals or milk tanks. Single colonies were cultured, and each culture was analyzed for cytotoxicity and for the presence of specific DNA markers by PCR fingerprinting.

10

PCR fingerprinting: Arbitrarily-chosen primers were selected; Primer 1 and Primer 2 below (N representing deoxyinosine and A, C, T and G representing the four naturally-occurring bases of DNA):

Primer 1: 5' NNN NCG NCG NCA TCN GGC 3'; [SEQ ID NO: 1] and

Primer 2: 5' NCG NCT TAT CNG GCC TAC 3'; [SEQ ID NO: 2]

15

Mycoplasma bovis DNA was isolated and amplified, using these primers, in a polymerase chain reaction (PCR) as follows: The initial cycling step was for 120 seconds at 94 °C. Denaturation was for 30 seconds at 94 °C, followed by annealing for 90 seconds at 40 °C, extension for 120 seconds at 72 °C, with a final extension for 240 seconds at 72 °C. A total of 35 cycles of amplification were used.

20

The resulting DNA products of the PCR were separated by non-denaturing 1.5% agarose gel electrophoresis and were visualized by staining with ethidium bromide and illuminating the gel with a UV light source. Comparison of the resultant patterns, characteristic for a given biotype, with molecular weight standards such as the

25 EcoRI/HindIII digest of lambda phage or the HaeIII digest of phiX174 phage, electrophoresed alongside the PCR products, allows consistent and reproducible biotyping of *M. bovis* strains. Examples of biotyping results using this method are provided below.

	<u>Strain ID</u>	<u>Culture #</u>	<u>% Cytotoxicity</u>	<u>Biotype Profile</u>
5	BA2580	1	95	A
		2	0	A
	BA2491	1	82	A
		2	100	A
10	498	1	100	A
		3	91	A
	4082	1	100	B
		2	91	B
3		83	B	
15	Tank 2-18	1	90	A
		2	100	A
		3	100	A
	Tank 2-19	1	100	A
2		20	A	
3		100	A	
20	L-56291	1	100	A
		2	100	A
		3	86	A
	L-477	1	84	C
2		76	C	
3		90	C	
25	L-53219	1	66	A
		2	100	A
		3	100	A
	L-53219	1	66	A
2		100	A	
3		100	A	
30	L-53219	1	66	A
		2	100	A
		3	100	A
	L-53219	1	66	A
2		100	A	
3		100	A	
35	L-53219	1	66	A
		2	100	A
		3	100	A
	L-53219	1	66	A
2		100	A	
3		100	A	

Both cytotoxic (i.e. $\geq 40\%$ cytotoxic) and noncytotoxic strains are pathogenic.

While the majority of isolates are homogeneously cytotoxic, a few isolates, e.g.

BA2580 and Tank 2-19, are a mixture of non-cytotoxic and cytotoxic colonies.

Following extensive passage in culture, all strains become noncytotoxic, while passage

40 through calves accentuates the initial phenotype, whether non-cytotoxic or cytotoxic.

The PCR fingerprints for three *M. bovis* biotypes are illustrated in Figures 1 and 2

alongside the standards formed by the EcoRI/HindIII restriction endonuclease digest of lambda phage and the HaeIII restriction endonuclease digest of phi phage. The sizes of the resultant standard fragments, in base pairs, are listed in the description of Figures 1 and 2. A blank and a positive control for the PCR fingerprinting reactions are included

5 in lanes 3 and 4, respectively, for both Figures 1 and 2. Further description of PCR fingerprinting can be found in Artiushin et al. Int. J. Syst. Bacteriol. 46: 324-328 (1996), Fan et al. Avian Dis. 39: 729-735 (1995) and elsewhere. Other methods of biotyping mycoplasma, or other microorganisms, are well-known to the art and may also be used in the practice of the invention (*see e.g.*, Sambrook et al., *Molecular*

10 *Cloning: A Laboratory Manual*, 2nd Ed., Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, New York, 1989; *DNA cloning: A Practical Approach*, Volumes I and II, Glover, D.M. ed., IRL Press Limited, Oxford, 1985; Harlow and Lane, *Antibodies, A Laboratory Manual*, Cold Spring Harbor Publications, N.Y. (1988)).

15 Example 2. Preparation of an Inactivated Vaccine against Biotypes of *Mycoplasma*

A *Mycoplasma bovis* vaccine is prepared by inactivating a selected biotype of *Mycoplasma bovis* and combining this preparation with an adjuvant.

20 A. Selection of *M. bovis* biotypes

Isolates of *M. bovis* were obtained from samples of infected milk. These isolates were then cultured using standard techniques, such as those described by Knudtson et al. Vet. Microbiol. 11: 79-91 (1986).

25 Selected isolates were further expanded and characterized by biotype. Cultures of isolates representative of the characteristic biotypes, as determined by PCR fingerprinting, were selected and stocks of these biotypes were preserved by combining them with a gelatin protein hydrolysate stabilizing solution and subjecting the product to cryopreservation. Pure biotype cultures were used to inoculate a controlled

30 fermentation of the microorganism for use in producing vaccine. PCR fingerprinting of these cultures for vaccine production confirmed their purity as single biotypes.

Subsequent testing of the cryo-preserved stock(s) was performed in a USDA licensed facility according to Title 9 Code of Federal Regulations to validate purity and identity of the culture(s). Identity was determined to be *Mycoplasma bovis* by two independent laboratories when tested by indirect immunofluorescence with specific antisera to the

5 following species:

Mycoplasma bovis

Mycoplasma californicum

Mycoplasma alkalescens

10 *Mycoplasma canadense*

Mycoplasma bovigenitalium

Mycoplasma bovirhinis

Mycoplasma arginini

Acholeplasma laidlawii

15

B. Propagation of the Pure, Isolated Biotypes

Selected strains, or biotypes, identified as being pure, were propagated in a defined media and further processed to make vaccines.

20

Mycoplasma bovis biotypes can be propagated in a variety of different general purpose, growth-promoting, defined media that are known to those knowledgeable in the art, including, but not limited to, Hayflick Media, Adler Media, and Gourlay Media. In a preferred embodiment of this invention, the propagation medium is:

25

Yeast Extract: 5 grams per liter

Proteose Peptone: 2 grams per liter

Mixed substrate

Peptone, such as

30 Enhancetone: 20 grams per liter

Dextrose: 2 grams per liter

	Sodium Chloride:	5 grams per liter
	Sodium Phosphate:	2.5 grams per liter
	Glycerol:	1 gram per liter
	Nutrient	
5	Horse Serum:	50 ml per liter
	1% NAD/Cysteine:	20 ml per liter
	Water:	to volume of 1000 ml

Cultures were expanded and inoculated into media at a concentration of 10^7 -
 10 10^8 cfu/milliliter. Cultures were grown at a temperature between 30 and 41 °C, under
 normal atmospheric oxygen pressure, with the percentage of CO₂ in the environment
 kept between 0% and 10%. Incubation times ranged from 8 hours to 72 hours. The
 endpoint of incubation is determined by the time at which the cultures reach stationary
 phase, as measured by standard microbiological methods.

15
 Standard microbiological methods are used to determine immunogen mass, e.g.
 a direct plate count procedure or a spectrophotometric optical density method based
 upon light absorbance of the *Mycoplasma bovis* cultured cell mass.

20 C. Inactivation of *Mycoplasma bovis*

Beta-propiolactone (BPL) is prepared as a 10% solution (v/v) in chilled water.
 The chilled solution is slowly added to the *M. bovis* culture(s) with constant stirring,
 thereby allowing hydrolysis. This BPL solution is added in the amount of 10 milliliters
 25 per liter of *M. bovis* culture(s). The pH of the BPL-*M. bovis* suspension is maintained
 between 6.5 and 7.8, by adding sodium hydroxide as needed. The suspension is
 warmed to room temperature and continuously agitated for 24 hours. The cells are
 concentrated by centrifugation at 8,000g or by ultrafiltration.

30 D. Adjuvanting and Formulation of Vaccine

Adjuvanting and final formulation of bulk concentrated inactivated *M. bovis* cultures were done concurrently as described in following protocol:

- 1) Determine the final volume batch quantity desired based upon 2 milliliters per dose. Quantity of each ingredient to be added is then calculated as described in steps 2 through 5.
- 2) Dispense an amount of inactivated *M. bovis* cell concentrate necessary to contain a protective dose quantity sufficient for the total number of doses being formulated, based on the cell counts determined in the live culture.
- 3) Dilute the inactivated *M. bovis* cell concentrate with 0.85% saline solution sufficient to bring the batch to the final desired volume (following addition of adjuvant components)
- 4) Adjust pH to 6.0 to 6.5 using a 10 normal hydrochloric acid solution.
- 5) Add an amount of 2% aluminum hydroxide solution to yield a final formulated concentration of 8% to 16%; incubate for 24 hours.
- 6) Using 10 N sodium hydroxide solution, readjust the pH to 7.2 to 7.4
- 7) Emulsify mineral oil adjuvant with the diluted aluminum hydroxide-absorbed inactivated *M. bovis* cells with an amount sufficient to yield 4% to 12% in the final formulation.

Example 3. Preparation of Vaccine against *M. bovis* Biotypes A, B and C.

A *Mycoplasma bovis* vaccine was prepared containing antigen from 3 biotypes; A, B and C. The process for preparation of vaccine from line was the same as described for Example 2 above. Immunogenic components from biotypes A, B and C were combined after inactivation of selected quantities of pure cultures of each biotype.

Example 4. Preparation of Vaccine against *M. bovis* Biotypes A, B, C and *M. alkalescens*.

Five lung and ear isolations were obtained from necropsied calves. Using indirect immunofluorescence, the isolates were identified by Biomune as:

S99-0052 - *M. bovis* - Lung

S99-0052 - *M. bovis* - Ear

S99-0053 - *M. bovis* - Lung

S99-0053 - *M. alkalescens* - Lung.

5

Cultures were passaged 4x in Hayflicks modified liquid media with characterization and preparation of pure cultures.

10 Samples of cultures from isolates S99-0052 and S99-0053 were further characterized and were determined to be pure *M. bovis* and *M. alkalescens* by an independent laboratory. Identity of isolate S99-0053 as *M. alkascens* was confirmed by further testing.

15 Two groups of isolates were further characterized. Cytotoxicity cell culture bioassays and PCR fingerprinting were performed. These assays confirmed the identification of the cultures, to be used for vaccine production, to be pure *M. bovis* and *M. alkalescens*,

20 From the isolated Mycoplasma, a vaccine containing antigens from *M. bovis* biotypes A, B, C and antigens from *M. alkalescens* was prepared using the protocol described earlier.

Example 5. Field Trial of Vaccine against *M. bovis* Biotype A

25

Efficacy of an inactivated vaccine of this invention specific for *M. bovis* biotype A was determined under field conditions at a site with an endemic mycoplasma mastitis infection in the herd. An active field challenge was confirmed, based on a historical review of cull cow losses due to *M. bovis*, farm site *M. bovis* environmental isolation records, cultural isolation of *M. bovis* from clinical mastitis cases in the non-vaccinated

30

animals, and isolation of *M. bovis* from dairy bulk tanks. Laboratory tests confirmed the identity of these isolations.

The dosage and regime protocol for field vaccinations were as follows:

- 5 Administration: 2 milliliter dose of an oil emulsion adjuvanted *M. bovis* vaccine; subcutaneous injection in neck region

Regime: 3 doses of vaccine

For lactating cows:

- 1st Vaccination at Dry Off (End of Lactation Cycle)
 10 2nd Vaccination 2 to 3 Weeks Following 1st injection
 3rd Vaccination 2 to 3 Weeks Following 2nd injection

For heifers:

- The 3 doses are spaced 2-4 weeks apart prior to calving. Preferably, the last dose is administered at least 10 days prior to calving and the start of the lactation
 15 cycle.

- Comparative results were used to measure efficacy of the vaccine. Samples taken from all animals presenting with clinical mastitis were cultured by an independent laboratory to monitor the absence or presence of *Mycoplasma bovis*
 20 infection of the mammary gland. Field evaluations were made by comparing clinical incidence of mastitis caused by *Mycoplasma bovis* following herd vaccination to the base line herd incidence prior to vaccination. Results were as follows:

Pre Vaccination Base Line Incidence:

- 25 155 confirmed positive clinical *Mycoplasma bovis* infections

Post Vaccination Herd Incidence:

1st year following vaccination:

24 confirmed positive clinical *Mycoplasma bovis* infections

- 30 2nd year following vaccination:

1 confirmed positive clinical *Mycoplasma bovis* infection

No injection reactions were observed. No inflammatory udder reactions were observed.

Animals were also evaluated for serological response using serum collected from individual animals prior to and following the 2nd vaccination. A direct ELISA was performed, with the following results for selected animals:

		O.D. values	
		Pre-vaccination	Post-vaccination
10	Animal ID:		
	82651	.093	.313
	82759	.189	.693
	61043	.135	.273
	3219	.198	.586
	83550	.495	1.733
15	9296	.289	1.553

An immune response is indicated when the post-vaccination values exceed the pre-vaccination values by at least 2 fold.

20 Example 6. Field Trial of Vaccine against *M. bovis* Biotypes A, B and C

In the 3rd calendar year of the trial described in Example 5, 200 replacement cows were introduced into the herd; 100 at the same site (Site 1) as for Example 5 and 100 into a second related site located in the same geographical area (Site 2). Neither subset of replacement cows were quarantined prior to being introduced to their respective groups. Within 2 months, serious problems with *Mycoplasma mastitis* were reported at both Sites 1 and 2 by personnel at each site.

Testing of all cows at both sites, approximately 4,000 animals altogether, revealed the presence of 22 animals infected with *M. bovis*. Initial screening of all animals was accomplished by culturing pooled milk samples (16 cows/sample). When pooled samples were identified as positive for *M. bovis* by culturing milk, all animals in positive groups were tested individually. Three independent studies confirmed isolation of "bovis species" and identification of the three different biotypes (A, B and

C) of *M. bovis* was made by PCR fingerprinting. The PCR fingerprinting was carried-out as described above in Example 1.

A vaccine was prepared using antigen from 3 biotypes of *M. bovis* (A, B and C) as described in Example 3 above and was used to vaccinate cattle at both Site 1 and Site 2 according to the regime described in Example 5. Vaccinations began in mid-September, 1999. The incidence of *Mycoplasma mastitis* was monitored by independent laboratory testing for the presence of *Mycoplasma* in any animal determined by farm personnel to have mastitis.

10

Following vaccination of a significant portion of the herd at Site 1 and Site 2, the incidence of mycoplasma was greatly reduced. From January 1, 2000 to July 18, 2000, there were only 10 animals reported positive for *Mycoplasma bovis* at each site. This reduction in the incidence of *Mycoplasma* positive mastitis cows was regarded as a significant reduction by the operators of Sites 1 and 2. A breakdown of the incidence during Calendar year 2000 is as follows:

	Site 1.	Site 2
Jan	1	2
20 Feb	1	1
March	-	3
April	3	1
May	1	2
June	1	-
25 July	3	-

Example 7. Field Trial of Vaccine against *M. bovis* Biotypes A, B, C and *M. alkalescens*.

A vaccine prepared according to Example 4 comprising antigen from *M. bovis* biotypes A, B, C and *M. alkalescens* was used to vaccinate calves at a large 17,000 head-calf raising facility. It has been determined by the site's operators that

Mycoplasma is a major respiratory problem. Sample bleedings and serological evaluation prior to initiation of the trial indicated that approximately 50% of calves received are serologically negative as determined by direct ELISA bioassay.

- 5 Calves selected for the trial were bled and identified with numbered tags on Oct 19, 1999. Serum was immediately collected. Each of the calves was given the normal treatment regime for newborn cattle arriving at the site (colostrum, etc.). In addition, the calves were vaccinated three times with 2 mL of the vaccine prepared in Example 4. Vaccine was administered approximately every 7 days for the first 3 weeks. On Nov. 10 22, 1999, serum samples were taken from the 36 calves remaining of the original 50. On Dec. 21, 1999, serum samples were taken from 35 of the 36 calves remaining of the original 50 (one calf could not be located).

- 15 Response to vaccination was monitored using the ELISA bioassay used earlier to determine the serological status of calves at the site prior to initiating the trial. A representative random sample of calves (16) which had been serologically negative at day zero was monitored at day zero, post 2nd vaccination and post 3rd vaccination. A 7-fold increase in the immunological response over their pre-vaccinated status was realized in the animals following the vaccination procedure. This is believed to be a 20 significant response and the vaccine's efficacy was confirmed by the fact that the vaccinated animals performed well as measured by days to market and rate of gain, both important indicators of a calf's health and well-being.

Example 8. Field Trial of Vaccine against *M. bovis* Biotype B.

- 25 Biotype B was isolated from a 1200 cow Jersey dairy herd experiencing Mycoplasma mastitis infections in the herd. A vaccine against Biotype B was prepared as described in Example 2 and used in a manner consistent with the vaccination regime previously described. Following the initiation of the vaccination regime for the herd in February, 2000, a veterinarian monitored the herd for the incidence of *M. bovis*. The 30 dairy reported in September 2000 that there were no confirmed cases of Mycoplasma in vaccinated animals, despite the continued challenge from the presence of confirmed,

infected nonvaccinated animals. As of September, approximately 50% of the herd had been vaccinated. No unfavorable reactions resulting from the vaccine's use have been reported.

5 Example 9. Field Trial of Vaccine against *M. bovis* Biotype C.

Biotype C was isolated from a cultured isolate derived from a Holstein dairy herd of approximately 400 animals. This herd had been experiencing *Mycoplasma* mastitis infections and had been experiencing positive bulk milk tanks for the year prior to May, 1999. In March, 1999, thirteen cows had been identified as positive for

- 10 *Mycoplasma bovis* infection. A vaccine specific for biotype C was made as described in Example 2 and was used in a manner consistent with the vaccination regime previously described. The owner and herd health veterinarian monitored performance of the vaccine. There have been no reported clinical mastitis events in vaccinated animals. No unfavorable reactions in animals receiving the product have been
- 15 reported.

What is claimed is:

1. A vaccine which is protective against *Mycoplasma bovis* clinical disease in a bovine species comprising at least one inactivated or attenuated *Mycoplasma bovis* biotype and a pharmaceutically acceptable excipient.
2. The vaccine of claim 1, further comprising a suitable adjuvant.
3. The vaccine of claim 1, wherein the amount of each inactivated biotype is at least 10^8 *M. bovis* cell equivalents.
4. The vaccine of claim 1, wherein the amount of each attenuated biotype is at least 10^5 *M. bovis* cells.
5. The vaccine of claim 1, wherein at least one of the inactivated or attenuated *Mycoplasma bovis* biotypes is selected from the group consisting of biotype A, biotype B and Biotype C.
6. The vaccine of claim 5, wherein the amount of each selected inactivated *Mycoplasma bovis* biotype is at least 10^8 *M. bovis* cell equivalents.
7. The vaccine of claim 5, wherein the amount of each selected attenuated *Mycoplasma bovis* biotype is at least 10^5 *M. bovis* cells.
8. A vaccine which is protective against *Mycoplasma bovis* clinical disease in a bovine species comprising at least two inactivated or attenuated *Mycoplasma bovis* biotypes and a pharmaceutically acceptable excipient.
9. The vaccine of claim 8, further comprising a suitable adjuvant.
10. The vaccine of claim 8, wherein the amount of each inactivated biotype is at least 10^8 *M. bovis* cell equivalents.

11. The vaccine of claim 8, wherein the amount of each attenuated biotype is at least 10^5 *M. bovis* cells.
12. The vaccine of claim 8, wherein the *Mycoplasma bovis* biotype is selected from the group consisting of biotype A, biotype B and biotype C.
13. A method for immunizing bovine animals against clinical disease caused by *Mycoplasma bovis* comprising administering to a bovine animal immunogenic amounts of at least one inactivated or attenuated *Mycoplasma bovis* biotype to elicit a protective immune response by the animal.
14. The method of claim 13, wherein at least one of the *M. bovis* biotypes is selected from the group consisting of biotype A, biotype B and biotype C.
15. The method of claim 13, wherein the vaccine is administered by injection.
16. The method of claim 13, wherein the vaccine is administered by inhalation.
17. The method of claim 13, wherein the vaccine is administered by ingestion.
18. A method for producing a *Mycoplasma bovis* vaccine comprising contacting at least one live *Mycoplasma bovis* biotype with an inactivating material, and combining the inactivated *Mycoplasma bovis* biotype with a pharmaceutically acceptable excipient to produce a *Mycoplasma bovis* vaccine.
19. The method of claim 18, further comprising mixing said inactivated *Mycoplasma bovis* biotype with a suitable adjuvant.
20. A method for immunizing bovine animals against disease caused by *Mycoplasma bovis* comprising administering to a bovine animal the vaccine of claim 8 to elicit a protective immune response by the animal.

ABSTRACT

The invention of novel, effective vaccines against *Mycoplasma. bovis* for use in cattle is described. These vaccines demonstrate no undesirable side effects and protect
5 against *M. bovis* related disease, such as contagious mastitis, respiratory pneumonia, joint infections, keratoconjunctivitis and middle ear infections. The novel vaccines also lessen the effect of *M. bovis* infections on milk production, weight gain and animal health. Methods of diagnosing, characterizing and treating *M. bovis* infections as specific biotypes are also disclosed. Vaccine compositions made in accordance with
10 the invention may be either of the attenuated or inactivated variety. Vaccines may also include antigens from other pathogens so as to provide a protective immunogenic response to diseases other than those caused by *M. bovis*.

09708352 110500

FIGURE 1





FIGURE 2



SEQUENCE LISTING

<110> Leonard, Joan D.
Tully, Robert W.

<120> Vaccines for Mycoplasma bovis and
Methods of Use

<130> 02108.0001U2

<150> 60/164,286

<151> 1999-11-08

<160> 2

<170> FastSEQ for Windows Version 4.0

<210> 1

<211> 18

<212> DNA

<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>

<223> Description of Artificial Sequence:/Note =
synthetic construct

<221> misc_feature

<222> (0)...(0)

<223> N is deoxyinosine

<400> 1

nnnnnccgncgn catcnggc

18

<210> 2

<211> 18

<212> DNA

<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>

<223> Description of Artificial Sequence:/Note =
synthetic construct

<221> misc_feature


<222> (0)...(0)

<223> N is deoxyinosine

18

Variable	Mean	SD	Min	Max
Age	35.2	12.5	18	65
Gender	Male	Female		
Marital status	Married	Single		
Education	High school	College		
Occupation	Manager	Worker		
Income	\$25,000	\$50,000		
Health status	Good	Fair		
Exercise frequency	Weekly	Monthly		
Dietary habits	Vegetarian	Non-vegetarian		
Stress level	Low	High		
Sleep quality	Good	Poor		
Mental health	Stable	Unstable		
Substance use	None	Alcohol		
Family size	2	3		
Religious beliefs	Religious	Secular		
Life satisfaction	High	Low		
Work-life balance	Good	Poor		
Community involvement	Active	Passive		
Personal growth	High	Low		
Relationship quality	Good	Poor		
Financial stability	Stable	Unstable		
Healthcare access	Good	Poor		
Environmental quality	Good	Poor		
Transportation	Good	Poor		
Education quality	Good	Poor		
Healthcare quality	Good	Poor		
Community safety	Good	Poor		
Local economy	Good	Poor		
Infrastructure	Good	Poor		
Public services	Good	Poor		
Government efficiency	Good	Poor		
Corruption levels	Low	High		
Political stability	High	Low		
Human rights	Good	Poor		
Environmental protection	Good	Poor		
Climate change impact	Low	High		
Disaster preparedness	Good	Poor		
Emergency response	Good	Poor		
Public safety	Good	Poor		
Law enforcement	Good	Poor		
Judicial system	Good	Poor		
Legal system	Good	Poor		
Government transparency	Good	Poor		
Accountability	Good	Poor		
Public participation	Good	Poor		
Civil society	Good	Poor		
Media freedom	Good	Poor		
Press freedom	Good	Poor		
Internet freedom	Good	Poor		
Digital rights	Good	Poor		
Privacy protection	Good	Poor		
Data security	Good	Poor		
Artificial intelligence	Good	Poor		
Robotics	Good	Poor		
Space exploration	Good	Poor		
Biotechnology	Good	Poor		
Genetics	Good	Poor		
Immunology	Good	Poor		
Microbiology	Good	Poor		
Plant biology	Good	Poor		
Animal biology	Good	Poor		
Ecology	Good	Poor		
Evolutionary biology	Good	Poor		
Developmental biology	Good	Poor		
Cell biology	Good	Poor		
Molecular biology	Good	Poor		
Physiology	Good	Poor		
Anatomy	Good	Poor		
Pathology	Good	Poor		
Pharmacology	Good	Poor		
Toxicology	Good	Poor		
Immunology	Good	Poor		
Microbiology	Good	Poor		
Plant biology	Good	Poor		
Animal biology	Good	Poor		
Ecology	Good	Poor		
Evolutionary biology	Good	Poor		
Developmental biology	Good	Poor		
Cell biology	Good	Poor		
Molecular biology	Good	Poor		
Physiology	Good	Poor		
Anatomy	Good	Poor		
Pathology	Good	Poor		
Pharmacology	Good	Poor		
Toxicology	Good	Poor		
Immunology	Good	Poor		
Microbiology	Good	Poor		
Plant biology	Good	Poor		
Animal biology	Good	Poor		
Ecology	Good	Poor		
Evolutionary biology	Good	Poor		
Developmental biology	Good	Poor		
Cell biology	Good	Poor		
Molecular biology	Good	Poor		
Physiology	Good	Poor		
Anatomy	Good	Poor		
Pathology	Good	Poor		
Pharmacology	Good	Poor		
Toxicology	Good	Poor		
Immunology	Good	Poor		
Microbiology	Good	Poor		
Plant biology	Good	Poor		

United States Patent & Trademark Office
Office of Initial Patent Examination -- Scanning Division



Application deficiencies were found during scanning:

☐ Page(s) _____ of _____ were not present:
for scanning. (Document title)

☐ Page(s) _____ of _____ were not present:
for scanning. (Document title)

☒ Scanned copy is best available. *Drawings*

SCANNED, # *14*